

REMARKS

The Final Office Action of October 5, 2011 was received and carefully reviewed. Claims 2-4, 12-19, 23, 24, 29, 30, 33, 35, 39, 42 and 53-58 were pending prior to the instant amendment. By this amendment, claims 33, 53 and 56 are amended herein. No new matter is added. Accordingly, claims 2-4, 12-19, 23, 24, 29, 30, 33, 35, 39, 42 and 53-58 remain pending for consideration, of which claims 12-19, 33 and 34 are withdrawn. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the currently pending rejections are requested for the reasons advanced in detail below.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants note with appreciation the indication of the allowance of claims 2-4, 23, 24, 29, 30, 35, 39 and 42.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 53-58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the Examiner asserts that it is unclear how the “at least two film transistors in the second semiconductor film” and the rest of the device is related.’ *See, for example, page 2 of the Office Action.* This rejection is traversed for at least the reasons advanced in detail below.

Applicants herein amend independent claims 53 and 56 to recite, *inter alia*: “wherein the at least two channel regions in the second semiconductor film and the electroluminescence element are connected in series.” Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that based on the newly amended features, a relation between the second semiconductor film and the rest of the device is now clearly defined. Thus, Applicants respectfully request this rejection be withdrawn and request that the instant application be placed for immediate allowance.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the rejection of independent claims 53 and 56 is improper, and should be withdrawn. The rejection of claims 54, 55, 57 and 58 is improper at least by virtue of their dependence on claims 53 or 56.

Applicants therefore respectfully request allowance of the instant application. If a conference would be helpful in expediting prosecution of the instant application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to arrange such a conference.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON PEABODY LLP

/Jeffrey L. Costellia, Reg.#35483/

Jeffrey L. Costellia

Registration No. 35,483

NIXON PEABODY LLP

CUSTOMER NO.: 22204

401 9th Street, N.W., Suite 900

Washington, DC 20004

Tel: 202-585-8000

Fax: 202-585-8080